



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/086,008	02/28/2002	Jacquelyn Annette Martino	US020031	1078	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 04/24/200°	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			SHELEHEDA, JAMES R		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2623			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/086,008		MARTINO ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
			1	

	James Sheleneda	2623	٠					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 16 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprially set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co								
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a [1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	et door NOT place the application i	in condition for allows	nee heegues:					
See Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	ince because.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(P10/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:		LBL.	,					
		OTT E. BELIVEAU RY PATENT EXAMI	NER					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

In response to applicant's arguments on page 4, in regards to "aggregating", it is noted that Eick specifically discloses wherein a user may enter a search feature (title, column 9, lines 51-61). The results of this request are then displayed to the user in aggregated form, as each individual letter, such as "N", represents all of the results which begin with N. (see Fig. 19-20). Thus, applicant's arguments are not convincing.

In response to applicant's arguments in regards to a "second axis", as seen in Fig. 23, Eick discloses wherein the subset of results is displayed along the time axis (horizontal) on the display, indicating occurences of the specific selected program (column 10, lines 20-55). Additionally, Eick discloses wherein the symbols are displayed along a first axis on the display (vertical; Flg. 22), thus meeting the claim language.

In response to applicant's arguments on page 5, as clearly seen in Figs. 19-22, Eick discloses wherein the results are aggregated into subsets based upon a second feature, i.e. second letter in the program title. This clearly reads upon the broad limitation of a "feature", and therefore applicant's arguments are not persuasive.